Program A: Administrative

Program Authorization: R.S. 46:2521-2524

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The mission of the Administrative Program of the Office of Women Services is to set the standard for high quality performance and best practices in the agency. The goals of the Administrative Program of the Office of Women Services are:

- 1. Establish a financially secure future for Office of Women Services.
- 2. Identified by our internal and external customers as a model agency known for cutting edge and innovative practices.

OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Unless otherwise indicated, all objectives are to be accomplished during or by the end of FY 2000-2001. Performance indicators are made up of two parts: name and value. The indicator name describes what is being measured. The indicator value is the numeric value or level achieved within a given measurement period. For budgeting purposes, performance indicator values are shown for the prior fiscal year, the current fiscal year, and alternative funding scenarios (continuation budget level and Executive Budget recommendation level) for the ensuing fiscal year (the fiscal year of the budget document).

The objectives and performance indicators that appear below are associated with program funding in the Base Executive Budget for FY 2000-01. Specific information on program funding is presented in the financial sections that follow performance tables.

1. (KEY) To increase the agency's budget by 2% through public, private and non-profit funding streams to benefit, support and expand programs.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective I.1: *To increase the budget by 50% through public, private and non-profiting funding streams to benefit, support, and expand programs by June 30, 2003.*

		PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES						
E		YEAREND	ACTUAL	ACT 10	EXISTING	AT	AT	
LEVEL		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	RECOMMENDED	
		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	STANDARD	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	BUDGET LEVEL	
	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 1998-99	FY 1998-99	FY 1999-2000	FY 1999-2000	FY 2000-2001	FY 2000-2001	
	Percentage increase in total budget through public,	Not applicable 1	Not available 1	10%	10%	10%	2% 2	
K	private and nonprofit funding streams							
	Number of solicitation letters, interagency	Not applicable 1	Not available 1	15	5	5	2 2	
S	agreements, grant proposals and contracts							
	submitted for funding							
	Number of solicitation letters, interagency	Not applicable 1	Not available 1	7	3	3	2 2	
S	agreements, grant proposals and contracts							
	submitted that are funded.							

¹ This performance indicator was new for FY 1999-00 and resulted from the agency's strategic plan. It did not appear under Act 19 and has no FY 1998-99 performance standard. Performance information for the indicator was not tracked during FY 1998-99.

² The funding level in the Executive Budget recommendation includes budget cuts that will result in lowered performance standards.

2. (KEY) To improve administrative operations for high quality management resulting in a 5% increase in contractor/partner and participant satisfaction rate.

Strategic Link: This operational objective is related to the program's Strategic Objective II.2: To continuously improve administrative operations for high quality in management.

	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES						
EL		YEAREND	ACTUAL	ACT 10	EXISTING	AT	AT
>		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	RECOMMENDED
LE		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	STANDARD	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	BUDGET LEVEL
	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 1998-99	FY 1998-99	FY 1999-2000	FY 1999-2000	FY 2000-2001	FY 2000-2001
V	Percentage of contractors/partners who rate the	Not applicable 1	Not available 1	55%	55%	60%	60%
K	agency positively when surveyed						
	Percentage of participants or recipients of services	Not applicable 1	Not available 1	75%	75%	80%	80%
K	who rate the agency positively when surveyed						

¹ This performance indicator was new for FY 1999-00 and resulted from the agency's strategic plan. It did not appear under Act 19 and has no FY 1998-99 performance standard. Performance information for the indicator was not tracked during FY 1998-99.

3. (SUPPORTING) To increase employee satisfaction rating to 70%.

Strategic Link: This operational objective is related to the program's Strategic Objective II.2: To continuously improve administrative operations for high quality in management.

	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES						
EL		YEAREND	ACTUAL	ACT 10	EXISTING	AT	AT
EVE		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	RECOMMENDED
		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	STANDARD	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	BUDGET LEVEL
	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 1998-99	FY 1998-99	FY 1999-2000	FY 1999-2000	FY 2000-2001	FY 2000-2001
S	Percentage of returned employee surveys	Not applicable 1	Not available 1	60%	60%	70%	70%
	reporting a positive rating for the agency						

¹ This performance indicator was new for FY 1999-00 and resulted from the agency's strategic plan. It did not appear under Act 19 and has no FY 1998-99 performance standard. Performance information for the indicator was not tracked during FY 1998-99.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION FOR THE PROGRAM

						RECOMMENDED
	ACTUAL	ACT 10	EXISTING	CONTINUATION	RECOMMENDED	OVER/(UNDER)
	1998-1999	1999- 2000	1999- 2000	2000 - 2001	2000 - 2001	EXISTING
MEANS OF FINANCING:						
STATE GENERAL FUND (Direct)	\$388,619	\$580,335	\$580,335	\$604,244	\$522,934	(\$57,401)
STATE GENERAL FUND BY:						
Interagency Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fees & Self-gen. Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0
Statutory Dedications	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interim Emergency Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL MEANS OF FINANCING	\$388,619	\$580,335	\$580,335	\$604,244	\$522,934	(\$57,401)
EXPENDITURES & REQUEST:						
Salaries	\$200,307	\$293,980	\$293,980	\$300,281	\$296,168	\$2,188
Other Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Related Benefits	30,411	53,242	53,242	54,108	56,349	3,107
Total Operating Expenses	29,554	57,324	57,324	71,519	37,080	(20,244)
Professional Services	12,520	7,500	7,500	7,650	7,500	0
Total Other Charges	100,174	168,289	168,289	167,086	122,237	(46,052)
Total Acq. & Major Repairs	15,653	0	0	3,600	3,600	3,600
TOTAL EXPENDITURES AND REQUEST	\$388,619	\$580,335	\$580,335	\$604,244	\$522,934	(\$57,401)
AUTHORIZED FULL-TIME						
EQUIVALENTS: Classified	6	7	7	7	5	(2)
Unclassified	1	1	1	1	2	1
TOTAL	7	8	8	8	7	(1)

SOURCE OF FUNDING

This program is funded with State General Fund.

ANALYSIS OF RECOMMENDATION

GENERAL FUND	TOTAL	T.O.	DESCRIPTION
\$580,335	\$580,335	8	ACT 10 FISCAL YEAR 1999-2000
ΦO	¢ο	0	BA-7 TRANSACTIONS:
\$0	\$0	0	None
\$580,335	\$580,335	8	EXISTING OPERATING BUDGET – December 3, 1999
\$4,721	\$4,721	0	Annualization of FY 1999-2000 Classified State Employees Merit Increase
\$2,446	\$2,446	0	Classified State Employees Merit Increases for FY 2000-2001
\$1,637	\$1,637	0	Risk Management Adjustment
\$219	\$219	0	Legislative Auditor Fees
(\$595)	(\$595)	0	Rent in State-Owned Buildings
(\$872)	(\$872)	0	Maintenance of State-Owned Buildings
(\$26)	(\$26)	0	UPS Fees
(\$16,382)	(\$16,382)	0	Salary Base Adjustment
(\$3,612)	(\$3,612)	(1)	Personnel Reductions
\$11	\$11	0	Civil Service Fees
(\$105,767)	(\$105,767)	(1)	Continuation of reductions imposed by Executive Order MJF 99-52 in FY 00-01
\$3,600	\$3,600	0	Other Adjustments for ISIS Human Resource Statewide Assessment
\$57,219	\$57,219	1	Other Technical Adjustments transferring funds from the Displaced Homemakers Program to realign program structure to more accurately reflect expenditures
\$522,934	\$522,934	7	TOTAL RECOMMENDED
\$0	\$0	0	LESS GOVERNOR'S SUPPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATIONS
\$522,934	\$522,934	7	BASE EXECUTIVE BUDGET FISCAL YEAR 2000-2001
\$0	\$0	0	SUPPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINGENT ON SALES TAX RENEWAL: None
\$0	\$0	0	TOTAL SUPPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINGENT ON SALES TAX RENEWAL

\$0	\$0	0	SUPPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINGENT ON NEW REVENUE: None
\$0	\$0	0	TOTAL SUPPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINGENT ON NEW REVENUE
\$522,934	\$522,934	7	GRAND TOTAL RECOMMENDED

The total means of financing for this program is recommended at 90.7% of the existing operating budget. It represents 66.3% of the total request (\$794,423) for this program. The significance difference in total recommended and existing operating budget is due to the elimination of the LA Women Commission for Policy and Research and one position; and the salary base adjustment. One position was eliminated due to statewide personnel reductions.

	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
\$7,500	Contract for legal services
\$7,500	TOTAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
	OTHER CHARGES
\$11,708	Legislative Auditor
\$35,294	Funding associated with Other Charges accounting position, Clerk Chief I
\$47,002	SUB-TOTAL OTHER CHARGES
	Interagency Transfers:
\$48,623	Rent in State-Owned Buildings
\$19,380	Maintenance of State-Owned Buildings
\$7,232	Department of Civil Service, Comprehensive Public Training Program, and the Office of Uniform Payroll System
\$75,235	SUB-TOTAL INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS
\$122,237	TOTAL OTHER CHARGES
	ACQUISITIONS AND MAJOR REPAIRS
\$3,600	Computer equipment
\$3,600	TOTAL ACQUISITIONS AND MAJOR REPAIRS